

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/003,000	01/05/1998	DAVID M. SIEFERT	6118.02	8465	
26890	7590 06/14/2002				
	JAMES M. STOVER			EXAMINER	
NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD, WHQ4			RIMELL, SAMUEL G		
DAYTON, O	H 45479		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2175		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{J}$			
i i	09/003,000	SIEFERT, DAVID	М.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sam Rimell	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-22</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. SAM RIMELL Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s)		Alla	MARY ASUM			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) ☐ Interview 5) ☐ Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( Informal Patent Application (PTC	s)			

· Application/Control Number: 09/003,000

Art Unit: 2175

Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 17 calls for the learner's curriculum to include information about the learner's needs. Although the disclosure of the present invention (at page 12, lines 17-18) states that the curriculum is "tailored" to the learners needs, it does not state the curriculum includes actual information describing needs.

Claim 18 states that the teaching strategies include "information on the learner's knowledge of structures of organized information which give meaning and context to the topic." The teaching strategies described in the present invention (page 12, line 23- page 13, line 10) make no mention of such features, and th3ese features cannot be inferred from the content of the disclosure.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 16, 19, 20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Lee (WO 93/16454).

Claim 16: Lee discloses a plurality of computers (10,40, 44) which form a LAN system. The system stores a plurality of materials on different topics, as well as profiles of learners. The LAN forms a communications link with a data channel that connect students at workstations (10)

· Application/Control Number: 09/003,000

Art Unit: 2175

to a teacher's workstation (40). The profiles of learner's are stored in the system. These profiles include the learner's curriculum ("homework assignments", page 8, line 29); teaching strategies ("how much and what type of material each student can access" page 8, lines 34-35); present standing ("results of the homework assignments", page 8, line 29 and page 12, lines 8-10) and personalized information ("student name and ID", page 8, line 26). Learning presentations are selected based on these profiles (page 6, line 37 through page 7, line 3) and the selected presentations are presented to the learners.

<u>Claim 19:</u> The student can designate preferences for the preferred teaching strategy (page 9, line 22 and lines 26-31).

Claim 20: The present standing of the student (homework results in the form of grades) are obtained in a non-intrusive manner. Namely, the grades are sent electronically to the instructor (page 12, lines 8-10).

Claim 22: The personalized information includes the student name and ID number (Page 8, line 26). This information facilitates learning, since teacher would be incapable of even communicating with he student without having such information.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (WO 93/16454).

\*Application/Control Number: 09/003,000

Art Unit: 2175

Page 4

Lee discloses the concept of identifying subject matter that the student is having problems with, and sending a message to the teacher regarding this subject matter (page 12, line 35 through page 13, line 1). However, Lee does not explicitly state that such information is actually recorded in the student profile. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lee to record such information as a choice of stored data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (703) 306-5626.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2175